



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,314	12/04/2003	Wy Peron Lee	USP2266A-SMD	6215

30265 7590 05/20/2005

DAVID AND RAYMOND PATENT GROUP
1050 OAKDALE LANE
ARCADIA, CA 91006

EXAMINER

CHOI, STEPHEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3724

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,314	LEE, WY PERON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/28/05.
 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4, 7-12, 16, 18-20, 24 and 26-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 13-15, 17, 21-23 and 25 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on 28 April 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 4, 7-12, 16, 18-20, 24, and 26-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simonson (US 3,931,751).

Simonson discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a docking station comprising a boundary frame having a docking socket (6), a locker device (8), and a peripheral functional gear (at 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claims 1-2, 5, 13-14, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole (US 3,669,031) in view of Ransom et al. (US 6,672,348).

Regarding claim 1, Cole discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a docking station comprising a boundary frame having a docking socket (12, 14) and a peripheral functional gear (22). Although Cole teaches mounting a cutting machine rigidly onto the docking station, Cole does not expressly teach a locker device. Ransom discloses a locker device (col. 3, line 25) for detachable locking a cutting machine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a locker device as taught by Ransom on the device of Cole in order to detachably locking the cutting machine. Regarding claim 2, a retractable utility table (at 22) slidably received in a side opening (at 24a and 24b). Regarding claim 5, Cole discloses a dolly frame (16) comprising two wheel assemblies (49) and a dolly handle (56). Regarding claim 13, Cole discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a cutting head having a cutting blade overhangingly supported above the cutting table and a locker device. Ransom discloses a miter saw (26) and a locker device (col. 3, line 25) for detachably locking the miter saw on a portable table. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a miter saw and a locker device for detachably locking the miter saw as taught by Ransom on the device of Cole in order to detachably locking the miter saw to perform different cutting operation. Regarding claim 14, a retractable utility table (at 22) slidably received in a side opening (at 24a and 24b). Regarding claim 21, Cole discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a

Art Unit: 3724

cutting head having a cutting blade overhangingly supported above the cutting table.

Ransom discloses a miter saw (26) mounted on a portable table. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a miter saw as taught by Ransom on the device of Cole in order to perform different cutting operation. Regarding claim 22, a retractable utility table (at 22) slidably received in a side opening (at 24a and 24b).

6. Claims 3, 6, 15, 17, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole in view of Ransom as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Oltman et al. (US 4,239,195).

The modified device of Cole discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a utility tools box. Oltman discloses a utility tools box (30) mounted on a side of a workbench. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a utility tool box as taught by Oltman on the modified device of Cole in order to provide easy access for utility tools to an operator of the cutting machine. Regarding claims 6, 17, and 25, Cole discloses a dolly frame (16) comprising two wheel assemblies (49) and a dolly handle (56).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cleveland, Lee, and Gorgol et al. are cited to show related devices.


Art Unit: 3724

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC
17 May 2005


STEPHEN CHOI
PRIMARY EXAMINER